

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/085,783	LIEW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Juliet C. Switzer	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**(1) Juliet C. Switzer**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Amy DeCloux.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 February 2008**Time:** afternoon**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80-102

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance was agreed upon. Also on 4/21/08 a followup interview was held to discuss rejoinder of non-elected combinations of the allowed methods. .*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)